	Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands				
Version 5.0	Background Information Score Boundary Worksheet Narrative Rating Quantitative Rating Categorization Worksheets Field Scoring Form	Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water Final: February 1, 2001			
Pursuant to ORC Section 3745.30, the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands is a guidance or policy and DOES NOT HAVE THE FORCE OF LAW					

Instructions

The investigator is *STRONGLY URGED* to read the Manual for Using the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands for further elaboration and discussion of the questions below prior to using the rating forms.

The Narrative Rating is designed to categorize a wetland or to provide alerts to the Rater based on the presence or possible presence of threatened or endangered species. The presence or proximity of such species is often an indicator of the quality and lack of disturbance of the wetland being evaluated. In addition, it is designed to categorize certain wetlands as very low quality (Category 1) or very high quality (Category 3) regardless of the wetland's score on the Quantitative Rating. In addition, the Narrative Rating also alerts the investigator that a particular wetland *may* be a Category 3 wetland, again, regardless of the wetland's score on the Quantitative Rating.

It is *VERY IMPORTANT* to properly and thoroughly answer each of the questions in the ORAM in order to properly categorize a wetland. To *properly* answer all the questions, the boundaries of the wetland being assessed must be correctly identified. Refer to Scoring Boundary worksheet and the User's Manual for a discussion of how to determine the "scoring boundaries." In some instances, the scoring boundaries may differ from the "jurisdictional boundaries."

Refer to the most recent ORAM Score Calibration Report for the scoring breakpoints between wetland categories. The most recent version of this document is posted on Ohio EPA's Division of Surface Water web page at the following address: <u>http://www.epa.ohio.gov/dsw/401/index.aspx</u>.

Background Information

Name:	
Date:	
Affiliation:	
Address:	
Phone Number:	
e-mail address:	
Name of Wetland:	
Vegetation Communit(ies):	
HGM Class(es):	
Location of Wetland include map, address, north arrow, landmarks, distances, roads, etc.	
Lat/Long or UTM Coordinate	
USGS Quad Name	
County	
Township	
Section and Subsection	
Hydrologic Unit Code	
Site Visit	
National Wetland Inventory Map	
Ohio Wetland Inventory Map	
Soil Survey	
Delineation report/map	
Wetland Size (acres, hectares)	

sketch (include north arrow, relationship with other surface waters, vegetation zones, etc.)

Comments, Narrative Discussion, Justification of Category Changes

Final score :

Scoring Boundary Worksheet

INSTRUCTIONS. The initial step in completing the ORAM is to identify the "scoring boundaries" of the wetland being rated. In many instances this determination will be relatively easy and the scoring boundaries will coincide with the "jurisdictional boundaries." For example, the scoring boundary of an isolated cattail marsh located in the middle of a farm field will likely be the same as that wetland's jurisdictional boundaries. In other instances, however, the scoring boundary will not be as easily determined. Wetlands that are small or isolated from other surface waters often form large contiguous areas or heterogeneous complexes of wetland and upland. In separating wetlands for scoring purposes, the hydrologic regime of the wetland is the main criterion that should be used. Boundaries between contiguous or connected wetlands should be established where the volume, flow, or velocity of water moving through the wetland changes significantly. Areas with a high degree of hydrologic interaction should be scored as a single wetland. In determining a wetland's scoring boundaries, use the guidelines in the ORAM Manual Section 5.0. In certain instances, it may be difficult to establish the scoring boundary for the wetland being rated. These problem situations include wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, wetlands divided by artificial boundaries like property fences, roads, or railroad embankments, wetlands that are contiguous with streams, lakes, or rivers, and estuarine or coastal wetlands. These situations are discussed below, however, it is recommended that Rater contact Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401/Wetlands Unit if there are additional questions or a need for further clarification of the appropriate scoring boundaries of a particular wetland.

#	Steps in properly establishing scoring boundaries	done?	not applicable
Step 1	Identify the wetland area of interest. This may be the site of a proposed impact, a mitigation site, conservation site, etc.		
Step 2	Identify the locations where there is physical evidence that hydrology changes rapidly. Such evidence includes both natural and human- induced changes including, constrictions caused by berms or dikes, points where the water velocity changes rapidly at rapids or falls, points where significant inflows occur at the confluence of rivers, or other factors that may restrict hydrologic interaction between the wetlands or parts of a single wetland.		
Step 3	Delineate the boundary of the wetland to be rated such that all areas of interest that are contiguous to and within the areas where the hydrology does not change significantly, i.e. areas that have a high degree of hydrologic interaction are included within the scoring boundary.		
Step 4	Determine if artificial boundaries, such as property lines, state lines, roads, railroad embankments, etc., are present. These should not be used to establish scoring boundaries unless they coincide with areas where the hydrologic regime changes.		
Step 5	In all instances, the Rater may enlarge the minimum scoring boundaries discussed here to score together wetlands that could be scored separately.		
Step 6	Consult ORAM Manual Section 5.0 for how to establish scoring boundaries for wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, divided by artificial boundaries, contiguous to streams, lakes or rivers, or for dual classifications.		

Narrative Rating

INSTRUCTIONS. Answer each of the following questions. Questions 1, 2, 3 and 4 should be answered based on information obtained from the site visit or the literature *and* by submitting a Data Services Request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Natural Heritage Data Services, 1889 Fountain Square Court, Building F-1, Columbus, Ohio 43224, 614-265-6453 (phone), 614-265-3096 (fax), http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/dnap. The remaining questions are designed to be answered primarily by the results of the site visit. Refer to the User's Manual for descriptions of these wetland types. Note: "Critical habitat" is a legally defined in the Endangered Species Act and is the geographic area containing physical or biological features essential to the conservation of a listed species or as an area that may require special management considerations or protection. The Rater should contact the Region 3 Headquarters or the Reynoldsburg Ecological Services Office for updates as to whether critical habitat has been designated for other federally listed threatened or endangered species. "Documented" means the wetland is listed in the appropriate State of Ohio database.

#	Question	Circle one	
1	Critical Habitat. Is the wetland in a township, section, or subsection of a United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute Quadrangle that has been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as "critical habitat" for any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? Note: as of January 1, 2001, of the federally listed endangered or threatened species which can be found in Ohio, the Indiana Bat has had critical habitat designated (50 CFR 17.95(a)) and the piping plover has had critical habitat proposed (65 FR 41812 July 6, 2000).	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 2	NO Go to Question 2
2	Threatened or Endangered Species. Is the wetland known to contain an individual of, or documented occurrences of federal or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 3	NO Go to Question 3
3	Documented High Quality Wetland. Is the wetland on record in Natural Heritage Database as a high quality wetland?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 4	NO Go to Question 4
4	Significant Breeding or Concentration Area. Does the wetland contain documented regionally significant breeding or nonbreeding waterfowl, neotropical songbird, or shorebird concentration areas?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 5	NO Go to Question 5
5	Category 1 Wetlands. Is the wetland less than 0.5 hectares (1 acre) in size and hydrologically isolated and either 1) comprised of vegetation that is dominated (greater than eighty per cent areal cover) by <i>Phalaris arundinacea, Lythrum salicaria,</i> or <i>Phragmites australis,</i> or 2) an acidic pond created or excavated on mined lands that has little or no vegetation?	YES Wetland is a Category 1 wetland Go to Question 6	NO Go to Question 6
6	Bogs. Is the wetland a peat-accumulating wetland that 1) has no significant inflows or outflows, 2) supports acidophilic mosses, particularly <i>Sphagnum</i> spp., 3) the acidophilic mosses have >30% cover, 4) at least one species from Table 1 is present, and 5) the cover of invasive species (see Table 1) is <25%?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 7	NO Go to Question 7
7	Fens. Is the wetland a carbon accumulating (peat, muck) wetland that is the saturated during most of the year, primarily by a discharge of free flowing, mineral rich, ground water with a circumneutral ph (5.5-9.0) and with one or more plant species listed in Table 1 and the cover of invasive species listed in Table 1 is <25%?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 8a	NO Go to Question 8a

#	Question	Circle one	
<i>"</i> 8a			NO
00	"Old Growth Forest." Is the wetland a forested wetland and is the forest characterized by, but not limited to, the following characteristics: overstory canopy trees of great age (exceeding at least 50% of a projected maximum attainable age for a species); little or no evidence	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland.	NO Go to Question 8b
	of human-caused understory disturbance during the past 80 to 100 years; an all-aged structure and multilayered canopies; aggregations of canopy trees interspersed with canopy gaps; and significant numbers of standing dead snags and downed logs?	Go to Question 8b	
8b	Mature forested wetlands. Is the wetland a forested wetland with	YES	NO
	50% or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status.	Go to Question 9a
		Go to Question 9a	
9a	Lake Erie coastal and tributary wetlands. Is the wetland located at an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this	YES	NO
	elevation, or along a tributary to Lake Erie that is accessible to fish?	Go to Question 9b	Go to Question 10
€b	Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is	YES	NO
	partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	Go to Question 9c
		Go to Question 9d	
Эc	Are Lake Erie water levels the wetland's primary hydrological influence,	YES	NO
	i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aquatic vegetation.	Go to Question 9d	Go to Question 9d
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its	YES	NO
	vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native species can also be present?	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	Go to Question 9e
		Go to Question 10	
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance	YES	NO
	tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	Go to Question 10
		Go to Question 10	
0	Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) Is the wetland located in	YES	NO
	Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within substrate of the output of the with a deminister of the	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland.	Go to Question 11
	several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this type of wetland and its quality.	Go to Question 11	
1	Relict Wet Prairies. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community	YES	NO
	dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1. Extensive prairies were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio, Erie County, and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, etc.).	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Complete Quantitative	Complete Quantitative Rating
		Rating	

Table 1. Characteristic plant species.

invasive/exotic spp	fen species	bog species	0ak Opening species	wet prairie species
Lythrum salicaria Myriophyllum spicatum Najas minor Phalaris arundinacea Phragmites australis Potamogeton crispus Ranunculus ficaria Rhamnus frangula Typha angustifolia Typha xglauca	Zygadenus elegans var. glaucus Cacalia plantaginea Carex flava Carex sterilis Carex stricta Deschampsia caespitosa Eleocharis rostellata Eriophorum viridicarinatum Gentianopsis spp. Lobelia kalmii Parnassia glauca Potentilla fruticosa Rhamnus alnifolia Rhynchospora capillacea Salix candida Salix myricoides Salix serissima Solidago ohioensis Tofieldia glutinosa Triglochin maritimum Triglochin palustre	Calla palustris Carex atlantica var. capillacea Carex echinata Carex oligosperma Carex trisperma Chamaedaphne calyculata Decodon verticillatus Eriophorum virginicum Larix laricina Nemopanthus mucronatus Schechzeria palustris Sphagnum spp. Vaccinium macrocarpon Vaccinium corymbosum Vaccinium oxycoccos Woodwardia virginica Xyris difformis	Carex cryptolepis Carex lasiocarpa Carex stricta Cladium mariscoides Calamagrostis stricta Calamagrostis canadensis Quercus palustris	Calamagrostis canadensis Calamogrostis stricta Carex atherodes Carex buxbaumii Carex pellita Carex sartwellii Gentiana andrewsii Helianthus grosseserratus Liatris spicata Lysimachia quadriflora Lythrum alatum Pycnanthemum virginianum Silphium terebinthinaceum Sorghastrum nutans Spartina pectinata Solidago riddellii

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.

Quantitative Rating

	Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland. Select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.			
6pts	≥50 acres (≥ 20.2ha)			
5pts	25 - <50 acres (10.1 - <20.2ha)			
4pts	10 - <25 acres (4.0 - <10.1ha)			
3pts	3 - <10 acres (1.2 - <4.0ha)			
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (0.12 - <1.2ha)			
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres (0.04 - <0.12ha)			
0pts	< 0.1 acres (0.04ha)			

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	\mathbf{ft}^2	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses. Maximum 14 points. Wetlands are systems transitional between upland and aquatic environments. Wetlands without "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded.

2a. Average Buffer Width (abw). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate abw, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: abw of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: abw = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, recently abandoned fields, paved areas, housing developments, unfenced pasture, etc.

7pts WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.

4pts MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.

1pt NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.

Opts VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s). Select one, or double check up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone (if any).

7pts VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc.

5pts LOW. Old field (>10 yrs), shrubland, young 2nd growth forest, etc.

3pts MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field, etc.

1pt HIGH. urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.



score

Metric 3. Hydrology. Maximum 30 points. This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible, to score more than 30 points.						
also is r	3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.					
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)					
3pts	Other groundwater					
1pts	Precipitation					
3pts	Seasonal surface water					
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)					
3b. Co	nnectivity. Select all that apply and sum score.					
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined in OAC Rule 3745-1-50(P) as "the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.					
1pt	between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.					
1pt	part of wetland or upland (e.g. forest, prairie) complex. Both this and the next question ask whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of other nearby wetland or upland natural areas. The difference is whether the area the wetland is "long and narrow" like a river, or more "squarish" like a large forest or woodlot. If the latter is the case, this question applies; if the former, the next question applies. In a few instances, both may apply					
1pt	part of riparian or upland corridor. See description above.					
wetland	kimum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The Rater <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of ary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.					
3pts	>0.7m (27.6in)					
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)					
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)					
The use correspo	3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this Question. Categories correspond to Zones II, III, and IV of 1987 Manual (Table 5). Zone IV subdivided into seasonally inundated and seasonally saturated.					
4pts	Semipermanently to permanently inundated or saturated.					
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated.					
2pts	Seasonally inundated.					
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil.					



3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the Rater to evaluate the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

It is very important to stress that this question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of hydrologic regimes, e.g. between a forested seep wetland located on a floodplain with seasonal inundation and a leatherleaf (*Chamaedaphne calyculata*) bog with precipitation and minor amounts of surface run-off from a small watershed. Rather, it asks the rater to evaluate the "intactness" of the hydrologic regime attributable to *that type of wetland*. In the example above, both the forested seep wetland and the leatherleaf bog can score the maximum points (12) if there no, or no apparent, modifications to the natural hydrologic regime.

Once the Rater has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the Rater should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the Rater believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the Rater is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to "double check" and average the score.

The labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a hydrologic disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

The Rater may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

	ditch(es), in or near the we	etland		point source discharges to th	e (non-stormwater)	
	tile(s), in or near the wetland			filling/grading activities in or r	ear the wetland	
	dike(s), in or near the wetl	and		road beds/RR beds in or nea	r the wetland	
	weir(s), in or near the wetle	and		dredging activities in or near	the wetland	
	stormwater inputs (addition	n of water)		other (specify)		
the distuct caused of more that wetland' regime, far in the hydrolog	Circle one answer . Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime, or have they occurred so far in the past that current hydrology should be considered to be "natural."?			NO Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	NOT SURE Double check "no none apparent" a "recovered" and a score of 9.5.	and
Select o	one or double check adjoini	ng numbers and avera	ge the	score.		score
12pts	pts NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the rater.					
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.					
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.					
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVE has not recovered from pas			ccurred recently occurred, and, lifications are ongoing.	or the wetland	

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.



Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development. Maximum 20 points. While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. This metric attempts to evaluate these things under the rubric "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as possible hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will be instead alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In other instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. In any case, the Rater should carefully consider what is the actual proximate (direct) cause of the disturbance to the wetland.					
 4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance. Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include filling and grading, plowing, grazing (hooves), vehicle use (motorbikes, off-road vehicles, construction vehicles), sedimentation, dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the surface substrates or soils. 					
Circle one answer. Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils or substrates, or have they occurred so far in the past that current conditions should be considered to be "natural."?YESNONOT SUREVersion Provide Pro				-	
Select one or double check adjo	ining numbers and average	the score.		score	
4pts NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances, or no disturbances apparent to the Rater.					
3pts RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.					
2pts RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.					
	VERY. The disturbances have urbances, and/or the disturbar	e occurred recently, and/or the vaces are ongoing.	vetland has not		

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the Rater to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes a good sense of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region, watershed, or state.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears to <u>not</u> be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	



4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify a possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. In some instances, the scores can be viewed as a habitat alteration continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance. The Rater may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

							_
		Mowing			Herbaceous layer/aquatic	bed removal	
Grazing (cattle, sheep, pigs, etc.)			Sedimentation				
	Clearcutting			Dredging			
		Selective cut	ting		Farming	Farming	
		Woody debri	s removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. n	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae	
		Toxic polluta	nts		Other (specify)		
		Shrub/sapling	g removal		Other (specify)		
Circle one answer . Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat, or have occurred so far in the past that current habitat should be considered to be "natural."?		n	NO Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	NOT SURE Double check none apparen "recovered" ar a score of 7.5.	t" and nd assign		

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no alterations, or no alterations that are apparent to the Rater.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Maximum 10 points. Assign or deduct points if wetland has the feature described. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. No wetland can receive more than 10 points even if multiple categories are applicable.					
	Bog (10 pts) Lake plains sand prairies (Oak Openings) (10 pts)				
	Fen (10 pts) Relict wet prairies (10 pts)				
	Old Growth Forest (10 pts) Known occurrence of threatened/endangered species (
Mature Forested Wetland (5 pts) Significant migratory so			Significant migratory songbird/waterfowl habitat (10 p	ots)	
	Coastal wetlands, unrestricted hydrology (10 pts) Category 1 wetlands (See Narrative Rating #5) (-10 pts)			ots)	
	Coastal wetlands, restricted hydrology (5 pts)				



Metric	6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography. Maximum 20 points.		
wetland	tland Vegetation Communities. Check each community present <u>both vertically and horizontally</u> within the with an area of at least 0.1hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Tables 3, Table one 5. Sum the scores for the classes present.		
	Aquatic Bed. Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.		
	Emergent. Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, fens, prairie pothole, and bluejoint slough.		
	Shrub. Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 6m (20 ft) tall. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.		
	Forested. Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are defined as "vernal pools" in OAC Rule 3745-1-50.		
	Mudflats. The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.		
	Open water. The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas re 1) inundated, 2) unvegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.		
	Other (See User's Manual)		

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 6 for narrative descriptions of what "low," "moderate," and "high" quality mean.

Cover scale	Description
0	the vegetation community is either, 1) absent from wetland, or 2) comprises less than 0.1ha (0.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation, the community is of low quality
2	the vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	the vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation.

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 5 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

narrative	description
low	low species diversity and/or a predominance of non-native or disturbance tolerant native species
moderate	native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native species can also be present, and species diversity is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species
high	a predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and sometimes, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)	
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)	
2	Moderate 1ha to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)	
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more	



	izontal (plan view) interspersion. Select only one and assign score. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		
5pts	HIGH. Wetland has a high degree of interspersion.		
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion.		
3pts	MODERATE. Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion.		
2pts	MODERATELY LOW. Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion.		
1pt	LOW. Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.		
0pts	NONE. Wetland has no plan view interspersion.		

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Table 1 on Page 7 for list. Select only one and assign score.			
-5pts	Extensive. >75% areal cover of invasive species		
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species		
-1pt	Sparse. 5-25% areal cover of invasive species		
0pts	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species		
1pt	Absent.		

6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopograhic habitat features often present in wetlands.	
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks.	
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter	
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height	
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction.	

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features.

microtopographic habitat quality	narrative description			
0	feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland	none	low	low
1	feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality			
2	feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality, or in small amounts of highest quality			
3	present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality	moderate Figure 1. Hypothetical v	moderate vetlands for estimating de	high egree of interspersion.



STA N

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

Refer to the most recent ORAM Score Calibration Report for the scoring breakpoints between wetland categories at the following address: <u>http://www.epa.ohio.gov/dsw/401/index.aspx</u>.

		circle answer or insert		
		score	•	Result
Narrative Rating	Question 1 Critical Habitat	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES	NO	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES	NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES	NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands - Unrestricted.	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands - Unrestricted with invasive plants	YES	NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES	NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size			
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use			
	Metric 3. Hydrology			
	Metric 4. Habitat			
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities			
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography			
	TOTAL SCORE Consult most recent score calibration report at <u>http://www.epa.ohio.gov/dsw/401/index.aspx</u> to determine the wetland's category based on its quantitative score			Category based on score breakpoints

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet.

Choices	Circle one		Evaluation of Categorization Result of ORAM		
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	YES NO Wetland is categorized as a Category 3 wetland		Is quantitative rating score <i>less</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>excluding</i> gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been over-categorized by the ORAM		
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	NO	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If the wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland usin either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessment may also be used to determine the wetland's category.		
Did you answer "Yes" to Narrative Rating No. 5	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 1 wetland	NO	Is quantitative rating score <i>greater</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold <i>(including</i> any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been under-categorized by the ORAM		
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	YES Wetland is assigned to the appropriate category based on the scoring range	NO	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range for a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on an quantitative score.		
Does the quantitative score fall with the <i>"gray zone"</i> for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	YES Wetland is assigned to the higher of the two categories or assigned to a category based on detailed assessments and the narrative criteria	NO	Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of a nonrapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC rule 3745-1- 54(C).		
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit <i>moderate OR superior</i> hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was <i>not</i> categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method?	YES Wetland was undercategorized by this method. A written justification for recategorization should be provided on Background Information Form	NO Wetland is assigned to category as determined by the ORAM.	A wetland may be undercategorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local or regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.		

Final Category

Choose one	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3

End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.