

WATERSHED/S	VATERSHED/SUBSHED:							DATE:/ ASSESSED BY:					
SURVEY REAC	н ID:		TI	ME::	AM/PM		Рното II	D: (Camera-Pi	c #)	/#			
SITE ID (Condit	ion-#): O '	Т	LA	т°		_" Lo	ONG°_	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	' LM	K	GPS: (Unit ID)		
FLOW: None Moderate Substantial Other: CONDITION: None Chip/Cracke	BANK: LT RT Head Closed pipe Other: Open Concrete Brick Other: CONDITION: None Chip/Cracked Peeling Paint TYPE: MATERIAL: Concrete Meta PVC/Plastic Brick Dother: Concrete Sewage Nother: Deposits/Stains: None Concrete Sewage Other: Concrete Sewage Other: Condition: Rancid/Sour Flow Line				Brick rthen	SHAPE: Single DIMENSIONS: SUBMERGE Circular Double No Elliptical Triple Diameter: (in) Partially Other: Fully Trapezoid Depth: (in) NOT APPECA Other: "(Bottom): (in) VEGGIE DENSITY: PIPE BENTHIC GROWTH: NOT							
Corrosion Other:		Sulfide		Paint Other:			Excessi		Goo	od □Odors s □ Algae			
FOR FLOWING ONLY													
OTHER CONCERNS: Note Sewage (tollet paper, etc.) Tetroleum (on sheen) Other.													
POTENTIAL R	ESTORAT	TION CAND	IDATI	Discha Storm	_	-	n Stream Other:	daylighting	☐ Local	stream repai	r/outfall stabilization		
If yes for dayli, Length of vegeta		r from outfa	ıll:	ft	Type o	of exist	ting vegetation	on:		Slope	:°		
If yes for storm Is stormwater cu Yes No	irrently co				Land U Area a		-				-		
OUTFALL SEVERITY: (circle #) Heavy discharge with a distinct color and/or a strong smell. The amount of discharge is significant compared to the amount of normal flow in receiving stream; discharge appears to be having a significant impact downstream. Small discharge; flow mostly clear and odorless. If the discharge has a color and/or odor, the amount of discharge; staining; or appearance of causing any erosion problems.								e; staining; or appearance					
G 27			5		4			3		2	1		
SKETCH/NOTI	£S:							1	Reportei	D TO AUTHO	RITIES: □ YES □ NO		



WATERSHED/S	VATERSHED/SUBSHED:							DATE:/ ASSESSED BY:					
SURVEY REAC	н ID:		TI	ME::	AM/PM		Рното II	D: (Camera-Pi	c #)	/#			
SITE ID (Condit	ion-#): O '	Т	LA	т°		_" Lo	ONG°_	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	' LM	K	GPS: (Unit ID)		
FLOW: None Moderate Substantial Other: CONDITION: None Chip/Cracke	BANK: LT RT Head Closed pipe Other: Open Concrete Brick Other: CONDITION: None Chip/Cracked Peeling Paint TYPE: MATERIAL: Concrete Meta PVC/Plastic Brick Dother: Concrete Sewage Nother: Deposits/Stains: None Concrete Sewage Other: Concrete Sewage Other: Condition: Rancid/Sour Flow Line				Brick rthen	SHAPE: Single DIMENSIONS: SUBMERGE Circular Double No Elliptical Triple Diameter: (in) Partially Other: Fully Trapezoid Depth: (in) NOT APPECA Other: "(Bottom): (in) VEGGIE DENSITY: PIPE BENTHIC GROWTH: NOT							
Corrosion Other:		Sulfide		Paint Other:			Excessi		Goo	od □Odors s □ Algae			
FOR FLOWING ONLY													
OTHER CONCERNS: Note Sewage (tollet paper, etc.) Tetroleum (on sheen) Other.													
POTENTIAL R	ESTORAT	TION CAND	IDATI	Discha Storm	_	-	n Stream Other:	daylighting	☐ Local	stream repai	r/outfall stabilization		
If yes for dayli, Length of vegeta		r from outfa	ıll:	ft	Type o	of exist	ting vegetation	on:		Slope	:°		
If yes for storm Is stormwater cu Yes No	irrently co				Land U Area a		-				-		
OUTFALL SEVERITY: (circle #) Heavy discharge with a distinct color and/or a strong smell. The amount of discharge is significant compared to the amount of normal flow in receiving stream; discharge appears to be having a significant impact downstream. Small discharge; flow mostly clear and odorless. If the discharge has a color and/or odor, the amount of discharge; staining; or appearance of causing any erosion problems.								e; staining; or appearance					
G 27			5		4			3		2	1		
SKETCH/NOTI	£S:							1	Reportei	D TO AUTHO	RITIES: □ YES □ NO		

Severe Bank Erosion

ER

WATERSHED/SUBS	SHED:				DATE: /	/	ASSES	SED BY:		
SURVEY REACH:			TIME::	AM/PM	Рното ID (Camera-Pic #	#):	/#		
SITE ID: (Condition-	#)	START LAT	<u> </u>	" Longo	1 11	LMK_		GPS: (Unit ID)		
ER		END LAT	0 1 1	' Long°	' ''	LMK_				
D			D					,		
PROCESS: Downcutting Widening	□ E	atly unknown Bed scour Bank failure						ey wall Other:		
Headcutting		Bank scour	Length (if no C	GPS) LT1	t and/or RT_	ft	Botto	m widthft		
Aggrading		Slope failure	Bank Ht		t and/or RT_			vidthft		
Sed. deposition		Channelized	Bank Angle	LT			Wette	ed Widthft		
LAND OWNERSHIP: Private Public Unknown LAND COVER: Forest Field/Ag Developed:										
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE: Grade control Bank stabilization Other:										
THREAT TO PROP	ERTY/l	INFRASTRUCTU	URE: No	Yes (Descri	be):					
EXISTING RIPARIA	AN WII	отн:	≤25 ft	25 - 50 ft	□ 50-75ft □	75-100ft	□ >100	ft		
EROSION SEVERITY(circle#) Channelized= 1	of the s contribu	downcutting; tall ban tream eroding at a fa uting significant amo obvious threat to pr ucture.	ast rate; erosion unt of sediment to	Pat downcutting evic widening, banks acti moderate rate; no th infrastructure	vely eroding at a	failure/ero	Grade and width stable; isolated areas of bank failure/erosion; likely caused by a pipe outfall, local scour, impaired riparian vegetation or adjacent use.			
Chaimenzed-		5		4 3		2		1		
ACCESS:	owners materia	access: Open area in hip, sufficient room t als, easy stream char equipment using exis	o stockpile nnel access for	Fair access: Forest adjacent to stream. I removal or impact to Stockpile areas sma	Access requires tree landscaped areas.	other sens stockpile a distance fi	Difficult access. Must cross wetland, steep slope or other sensitive areas to access stream. Minimal stockpile areas available and/or located a great distance from stream section. Specialized heavy equipment required.			
		5	4	1 3		2		1		
NOTES/CROSS SEC	CTION S		4	•			ED TO AU	THORITIES YES NO		

Severe Bank Erosion

ER

WATERSHED/SUBS	SHED:				DATE: /	/	ASSES	SED BY:		
SURVEY REACH:			TIME::	AM/PM	Рното ID (Camera-Pic #	#):	/#		
SITE ID: (Condition-	#)	START LAT	<u> </u>	" Longo	1 11	LMK_		GPS: (Unit ID)		
ER		END LAT	0 1 1	' Long°	' ''	LMK_				
D			D					,		
PROCESS: Downcutting Widening	□ E	atly unknown Bed scour Bank failure						ey wall Other:		
Headcutting		Bank scour	Length (if no C	GPS) LT1	t and/or RT_	ft	Botto	m widthft		
Aggrading		Slope failure	Bank Ht		t and/or RT_			vidthft		
Sed. deposition		Channelized	Bank Angle	LT			Wette	ed Widthft		
LAND OWNERSHIP: Private Public Unknown LAND COVER: Forest Field/Ag Developed:										
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE: Grade control Bank stabilization Other:										
THREAT TO PROP	ERTY/l	INFRASTRUCTU	URE: No	Yes (Descri	be):					
EXISTING RIPARIA	AN WII	отн:	≤25 ft	25 - 50 ft	□ 50-75ft □	75-100ft	□ >100	ft		
EROSION SEVERITY(circle#) Channelized= 1	of the s contribu	downcutting; tall ban tream eroding at a fa uting significant amo obvious threat to pr ucture.	ast rate; erosion unt of sediment to	Pat downcutting evic widening, banks acti moderate rate; no th infrastructure	vely eroding at a	failure/ero	Grade and width stable; isolated areas of bank failure/erosion; likely caused by a pipe outfall, local scour, impaired riparian vegetation or adjacent use.			
Chaimenzed-		5		4 3		2		1		
ACCESS:	owners materia	access: Open area in hip, sufficient room t als, easy stream char equipment using exis	o stockpile nnel access for	Fair access: Forest adjacent to stream. I removal or impact to Stockpile areas sma	Access requires tree landscaped areas.	other sens stockpile a distance fi	Difficult access. Must cross wetland, steep slope or other sensitive areas to access stream. Minimal stockpile areas available and/or located a great distance from stream section. Specialized heavy equipment required.			
		5	4	1 3		2		1		
NOTES/CROSS SEC	CTION S		4	•			ED TO AU	THORITIES YES NO		

Impacted Buffer IB

WATERSHED/SUBSHED:					DATE:	/	ASSESSED BY:			
SURVEY REACH:			TIME:	_:AM/PM	Рното	ID: (Camera-Pic ‡	,			
SITE ID: (Condition-#)	START I	LAT°	_'" I	LONG°	<u> </u>	LMK	GPS: (Unit ID)			
IB	END I	°	_'" I	LONG°	' ''	LMK				
IMPACTED BANK: LT RT Both	REASON I	NADEQUATE:		-		☐ Widespread invas	sive plants			
LAND USE:	Private	Institutional		y planted \(\sime\) C	ther: Other Publ	<u> </u>				
(Facing downstream) LT Bar		Institutional	Golf Cou	rse Park						
RT Bar	ık 🗌					:				
DOMINANT LAND COVER: LT Ba RT Ba		Bare ground			Shrub/s		Other :: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			
INVASIVE PLANTS:	☐ None	Rare	☐ P	artial coverage	☐ Ex	tensive coverage	unknown			
STREAM SHADE PROVII	DED? No	one Part	tial [Full WE	LANDS PR	ESENT? No	Yes Unknown			
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE										
RESTORABLE AREA				Impacted area on		Impacted area on either	Impacted area on private			
LT BAN		REFOREST POTENTIAL (Circle #)		where the riparian not appear to be u specific purpose; area available for	sed for any lenty of	public or private land that presently used for a spec purpose; available area for planting adequate	cific encroachment or other			
Width (ft):				5		3	2 1			
POTENTIAL CONFLICTS Poor/unsafe access to si							nation			
NOTES:				•						

Impacted Buffer IB

WATERSHED/SUBSHED:					DATE:	/	ASSESSED BY:			
SURVEY REACH:			TIME:	_:AM/PM	Рното	ID: (Camera-Pic ‡	,			
SITE ID: (Condition-#)	START I	LAT°	_'" I	LONG°	<u> </u>	LMK	GPS: (Unit ID)			
IB	END I	°	_'" I	LONG°	' ''	LMK				
IMPACTED BANK: LT RT Both	REASON I	NADEQUATE:		-		☐ Widespread invas	sive plants			
LAND USE:	Private	Institutional		y planted \(\sime\) C	ther: Other Publ	<u> </u>				
(Facing downstream) LT Bar		Institutional	Golf Cou	rse Park						
RT Bar	ık 🗌					:				
DOMINANT LAND COVER: LT Ba RT Ba		Bare ground			Shrub/s		Other :: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			
INVASIVE PLANTS:	☐ None	Rare	☐ P	artial coverage	☐ Ex	tensive coverage	unknown			
STREAM SHADE PROVII	DED? No	one Part	tial [Full WE	LANDS PR	ESENT? No	Yes Unknown			
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE										
RESTORABLE AREA				Impacted area on		Impacted area on either	Impacted area on private			
LT BAN		REFOREST POTENTIAL (Circle #)		where the riparian not appear to be u specific purpose; area available for	sed for any lenty of	public or private land that presently used for a spec purpose; available area for planting adequate	cific encroachment or other			
Width (ft):				5		3	2 1			
POTENTIAL CONFLICTS Poor/unsafe access to si							nation			
NOTES:				•						

Stream Crossing

SC

WATERSHED					DA		<u>/</u>		SSED BY:		
SURVEY REA	CH ID:		TIME: :	_AM/PM	PH	ото ID	: (Camera-Pio	<i>:</i> #)	/#		
SITE ID: (Con	dition-#) SC	LAT	<u> </u>	" LONG_	<u> </u>	•	" L	MK	GPS (Unit ID)		
TYPE: Roa	ad Crossing Railroad	Crossi		Dam Beav	er Da	am 🗌	Geological Fort	nation 🗌	Other:		
FOR ROAD/ RAILROAD	SHAPE: Arch Botton Box Ellipti Circular Other:	# BARRELS: Single Double Triple Other:	MATERIAL: Concrete Metal Other:		☐ Flo	NMENT: w-aligned t flow-aligned not know	Barrel dia	DIMENSIONS: (if variable, sketch) Barrel diameter:(ft) Height:(ft)			
CROSSINGS ONLY	LY CONDITION. (Evidence of)			Downstream scour hole Failing embankment		CULVERT SLOPE: \square Flat \square Slight $(2^{\circ} - 5^{\circ})$ \square Obvious $(>5^{\circ})$		Culvert length:(ft) Width:(ft) Roadway elevation:(ft)			
<u> </u>											
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE ☐ Fish barrier removal ☐ Culvert repair/replacement ☐ Upstream storage retrofit ☐ no ☐ Local stream repair ☐ Other:											
IS SC ACTING	G AS GRADE CONTROL	1	□ No □ Y	es Unk	nowi	n					
EXTENT OF PHYSICAL BLOCKAGE: BLOCKAGE SEVERITY: (circle #)											
If yes for fish barrier	A structure such as a dam or road culvert on a 3rd order or greater stream blocking the upstream movement of anadromous fish; no fish passage device present. A total fish blockar tributary that wou significant reach or partial blockag interfere with the anadromous fish.				ge on a di solate a beaver dam or a blockage at the very head of a stream with very little viable fish habitat						
	Other:			5		4	3		2 1		
NOTES/SKET	CH:						Repor	ΤΕΟ ΤΟ ΑΙΙ	THORITIES YES No		

Stream Crossing

SC

WATERSHED					DA		<u>/</u>		SSED BY:		
SURVEY REA	CH ID:		TIME: :	_AM/PM	PH	ото ID	: (Camera-Pio	<i>:</i> #)	/#		
SITE ID: (Con	dition-#) SC	LAT	<u> </u>	" LONG_	<u> </u>	•	" L	MK	GPS (Unit ID)		
TYPE: Roa	ad Crossing Railroad	Crossi		Dam Beav	er Da	am 🗌	Geological Fort	nation 🗌	Other:		
FOR ROAD/ RAILROAD	SHAPE: Arch Botton Box Ellipti Circular Other:	# BARRELS: Single Double Triple Other:	MATERIAL: Concrete Metal Other:		☐ Flo	NMENT: w-aligned t flow-aligned not know	Barrel dia	DIMENSIONS: (if variable, sketch) Barrel diameter:(ft) Height:(ft)			
CROSSINGS ONLY	LY CONDITION. (Evidence of)			Downstream scour hole Failing embankment		CULVERT SLOPE: \square Flat \square Slight $(2^{\circ} - 5^{\circ})$ \square Obvious $(>5^{\circ})$		Culvert length:(ft) Width:(ft) Roadway elevation:(ft)			
<u> </u>											
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE ☐ Fish barrier removal ☐ Culvert repair/replacement ☐ Upstream storage retrofit ☐ no ☐ Local stream repair ☐ Other:											
IS SC ACTING	G AS GRADE CONTROL	1	□ No □ Y	es Unk	nowi	n					
EXTENT OF PHYSICAL BLOCKAGE: BLOCKAGE SEVERITY: (circle #)											
If yes for fish barrier	A structure such as a dam or road culvert on a 3rd order or greater stream blocking the upstream movement of anadromous fish; no fish passage device present. A total fish blockar tributary that wou significant reach or partial blockag interfere with the anadromous fish.				ge on a di solate a beaver dam or a blockage at the very head of a stream with very little viable fish habitat						
	Other:			5		4	3		2 1		
NOTES/SKET	CH:						Repor	ΤΕΟ ΤΟ ΑΙΙ	THORITIES YES No		





WATERSHED/S	VATERSHED/SUBSHED:								/	ASSESSED BY:	
SURVEY REAC	CH ID:		TIME:	<u>:</u> _	AM/PM		Рн	ото II): (Camera-Pic #)	/#	
SITE ID: (Cond	lition-#)	START LAT	•		LONG	0	'	_''	LMK	GPS: (Unit ID)	
CM		END LAT	•	•••	LONG_	0	<u> </u>	"	LMK	_	
										·	
TYPE: Cha	nnelization	☐ Bank armoring	oncr	ete ch	annel 🔲 F	loodp	lain en	croach	ment Other:		
MATERIAL:		Does channel hav	e perenn	nial flo	ow?				DIMENSIONS:		
	1 IS there evidence of Scullient debos						☐ Yes ☐ No Height ————————————————————————————————————			(ft) (ft)	
☐ Rip Rap ☐ ☐ Metal	Earthen Is vegetation growing in channel?						Yes [No	Top Width:	(ft)	
Other: Is channel connected to floodplain?							Yes [No	Length:	(ft)	
BASE FLOW C		(in)				AD	JACE	NT STE	REAM CORRIDO	R	
Depth of flow						Av	ailable	e widtl	n LT	(ft) RT(ft)	
Defined low fl	ow channe	l? ☐ Yes ☐ No				Uti	lities l	Presen	t?	Fill in floodplain?	
% of channel b	oottom	%				☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No				-	
POTENTIAL R	ESTORATIO	ON CANDIDATE [Structu	ıral rep	oair 🗌 Ba	se flo	w chan	nnel cre	ation Natural	channel design	
no]	De-cha	nneliz	zation 🔲 Fis	sh bar	rier rer	noval	Bioengi	ineering	
CHANNEL-		n of concrete stream (>500		oderate	length (> 200')	but cha	annel sta	abilized a		nannel less than 100 ft with good water	
IZATION		e water is very shallow (<´ natural sediments presen	begir	nning to	function as a r	atural s	stream c	hannel.	depin, a naiui	ral sediment bottom, and size and to the unchannelized stream reaches	
SEVERITY: (Circle #)	the channel.			etateu D	ars may have fo		i channe			low impacted area.	
5 4 3 2 1											
NOTES:											





WATERSHED/S	VATERSHED/SUBSHED:								/	ASSESSED BY:	
SURVEY REAC	CH ID:		TIME:	<u>:</u> _	AM/PM		Рн	ото II): (Camera-Pic #)	/#	
SITE ID: (Cond	lition-#)	START LAT	•		LONG	0	'	_''	LMK	GPS: (Unit ID)	
CM		END LAT	•	•••	LONG_	0	<u> </u>	"	LMK	_	
										·	
TYPE: Cha	nnelization	☐ Bank armoring	oncr	ete ch	annel 🔲 F	loodp	lain en	croach	ment Other:		
MATERIAL:		Does channel hav	e perenn	nial flo	ow?				DIMENSIONS:		
	1 IS there evidence of Scullient debos						☐ Yes ☐ No Height ————————————————————————————————————			(ft) (ft)	
☐ Rip Rap ☐ ☐ Metal	Earthen Is vegetation growing in channel?						Yes [No	Top Width:	(ft)	
Other: Is channel connected to floodplain?							Yes [No	Length:	(ft)	
BASE FLOW C		(in)				AD	JACE	NT STE	REAM CORRIDO	R	
Depth of flow						Av	ailable	e widtl	n LT	(ft) RT(ft)	
Defined low fl	ow channe	l? ☐ Yes ☐ No				Uti	lities l	Presen	t?	Fill in floodplain?	
% of channel b	oottom	%				☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No				-	
POTENTIAL R	ESTORATIO	ON CANDIDATE [Structu	ıral rep	oair 🗌 Ba	se flo	w chan	nnel cre	ation Natural	channel design	
no]	De-cha	nneliz	zation 🔲 Fis	sh bar	rier rer	noval	Bioengi	ineering	
CHANNEL-		n of concrete stream (>500		oderate	length (> 200')	but cha	annel sta	abilized a		nannel less than 100 ft with good water	
IZATION		e water is very shallow (<´ natural sediments presen	begir	nning to	function as a r	atural s	stream c	hannel.	depin, a naiui	ral sediment bottom, and size and to the unchannelized stream reaches	
SEVERITY: (Circle #)	the channel.			etateu D	ars may have fo		i channe			low impacted area.	
5 4 3 2 1											
NOTES:											

Trash and Debris

WATERSHED/SUB	SHED:				DATE: /_	ASSESSED BY:					
SURVEY REACH I	D:		TIME:	:AM/PM	Рното ID: (Са	PHOTO ID: (Camera-Pic #) /#					
SITE ID: (Condition	-#) TR-	LAT_	o	'" Lone	G'	" LMK	GPS: (Unit ID)				
TYPE: ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial	MATERIAL: ☐ Plastic ☐ Tires	☐ Pa	per nstruction	☐ Metal	SOURCE: Unknown Flooding	LOCATION: Stream Riparian Ar	LAND OWNERSHIP: Public Unknown Private				
Residential	ommerciai				☐ Illegal dump ☐ Local outfall	Lt bank	AMOUNT (# Pickup truck loads):				
POTENTIAL REST	ORATION CANDI	DATE [Stream c	leanup Stre	am adoption segmen	t Removal/pi	revention of dumping				
no		_	Other:								
If yes for trash or	EQUIPMENT NEED	DED:	☐ Heavy €	equipment 🔲 T	rash bags 🔲 Unkno	own	DUMPSTER WITHIN 100 FT:				
debris removal	WHO CAN DO IT:	[Volunte	ers 🗌 Local (Gov 🗌 Hazmat Te						
CLEAN-UP POTENTIAL: (Circle #)	A small amount of tr than two pickup truck inside a park with eas	loads) loca	ess with ea	asy access. Trash r	or bulk items, in a small a may have been dumped o it could be cleaned up i small backhoe.	over area, where a	nt of trash or debris scattered over a large ccess is very difficult. Or presence of drums of hazardous materials				
(etrete 11)	5			4	3	2	1				
Notes:											
						REPORTE	D TO AUTHORITIES YES NO				

Trash and Debris

WATERSHED/SUB	SHED:				DATE: /_	/	ASSESSED BY:	
SURVEY REACH I	D:		TIME:	:AM/PM	Рното ID: (Са	amera-Pic #)	<i>/</i> #	
SITE ID: (Condition	-#) TR-	LAT_	o	'" Lone	G'	" LMK	GPS: (Unit ID)	
TYPE: ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial	MATERIAL: ☐ Plastic ☐ Tires	☐ Pa	per nstruction	☐ Metal	SOURCE: Unknown Flooding	LOCATION: Stream Riparian Ar	LAND OWNERSHIP: Public Unknown Private	
Residential	☐ Appliances ☐ Automotive	☐ Ya ☐ Otl	rd Waste her:		☐ Illegal dump ☐ Local outfall	Lt bank	AMOUNT (# Pickup truck loads):	
POTENTIAL REST	ORATION CANDI	DATE [Stream c	leanup Stre	am adoption segmen	t Removal/pi	revention of dumping	
no		_	Other:					
If yes for trash or	EQUIPMENT NEEDED: Heavy equipment Trans				rash bags 🔲 Unkno	own	DUMPSTER WITHIN 100 FT:	
debris removal	WHO CAN DO IT:	[Volunte	ers 🗌 Local (Gov 🗌 Hazmat Te	V Hazinat Team Other		
CLEAN-UP POTENTIAL: (Circle #)	than two pickup truck loads) located inside a park with easy access a long period of time but it could be cleaned up in a					ccess is very difficult. Or presence of drums		
(etrete 11)	5			4	3	2	1	
Notes:								
						REPORTE	D TO AUTHORITIES YES NO	

Utility	Impacts	1
O tilley	mpaoto	

Itility Impacts	UT
40	/#

WATERSHED/SUBSHED:			DATE:			ASSESSE	ED BY:		
SURVEY REACH II):	Tı	ME : :_	AM/PM	Рно	ото ID: (Camera-Pic	#)	/#
SITE ID: (Condition-	#) UT	LAT	·	" Long	<u> </u>	•	_'' LMK	:	GPS: (Unit ID)
								I	
TYPE: Leaking sewer Exposed pipe	MATERIAL: Concrete Corrugated Smooth me	metal	CATION: Floodplain Stream banl Above strea	∐ Yes		FISH BAR	RIER:	Diamete	r: <u>in</u> exposed: <u>ft</u>
Other:	Exposed mamore —						corrosion/cracking hole cover absent		
			G		r . D		🗆		701
EVIDENCE OF				Dark Brown				reenish L	Other:
DISCHARGE:	ODOR	None	Sewage ☐ Oily ☐ Sulfide ☐ Chlorine ☐ Other: ☐ Tampons/Toilet Paper ☐ Lime ☐ Surface oils ☐ Stains ☐ Other:						
	DEPOSITS	None	1 ampons/ 1	offet Paper 🔲	_ime [Surrace	ons L Stain	s 🔲 Otn	er:
POTENTIAL RESTO	DRATION CANDII	DATE S	tructural repa	airs Pipe tes	ting [Citizen l	notlines 🔲 I	Ory weath	er sampling
no		□ F	ish barrier re	emoval 🗌 Oth	er:				
If yes to fish barrier,	Water Drop:	(in)							
SEVERITY: (Circle #) Section of pipe undermined by erosion and could collapse in the near future; a pipe running across the bed or suspended above the stream; a long section along the edge of the pipe is exposed; or a manhole stack that is located in the center of the stream channel and there is evidence of stack failure. Section of pipe undermined by erosion and could collapse in the near future; a pipe running across the bottom of the stream where nearly the entire side of the pipe is exposed; or a manhole stack that is located in the center of the stream channel and there is evidence of stack failure. A moderately long section of pipe is partially exposed but there is no immediate threat that the pipe will be undermined and break in the immediate future. The primary concern is that the pipe may be punctured by large debris during a large storm event. Small section of exposed pipe, stream bank near pipe is stable; the pipe is across the bottom of the top of the undermined and break in the immediate future. The primary concern is that the pipe may be punctured by large debris during a large storm event.				s across the bottom of the portion of the top of the pipe losed but is reinforced with using a blockage to upstream ble stack that is at the edge of					
Leaking= 5		5		4	3		2		1
NOTES:						Ri	EPORTED TO	LOCAL AU	THORITIES Yes No

Utility	Impacts	1
O tilley	mpaoto	

Itility Impacts	UT
40	/#

WATERSHED/SUBSHED:			DATE:			ASSESSE	ED BY:		
SURVEY REACH II):	Tı	ME : :_	AM/PM	Рно	ото ID: (Camera-Pic	#)	/#
SITE ID: (Condition-	#) UT	LAT	·	" Long	<u> </u>	•	_'' LMK	:	GPS: (Unit ID)
								I	
TYPE: Leaking sewer Exposed pipe	MATERIAL: Concrete Corrugated Smooth me	metal	CATION: Floodplain Stream banl Above strea	∐ Yes		FISH BAR	RIER:	Diamete	r: <u>in</u> exposed: <u>ft</u>
Other:	Exposed mamore —						corrosion/cracking hole cover absent		
			G		r . D		🗆		701
EVIDENCE OF				Dark Brown				reenish L	Other:
DISCHARGE:	ODOR	None	Sewage ☐ Oily ☐ Sulfide ☐ Chlorine ☐ Other: ☐ Tampons/Toilet Paper ☐ Lime ☐ Surface oils ☐ Stains ☐ Other:						
	DEPOSITS	None	1 ampons/ 1	offet Paper 🔲	_ime [Surrace	ons L Stain	s 🔲 Otn	er:
POTENTIAL RESTO	DRATION CANDII	DATE S	tructural repa	airs Pipe tes	ting [Citizen l	notlines 🔲 I	Ory weath	er sampling
no		□ F	ish barrier re	emoval 🗌 Oth	er:				
If yes to fish barrier,	Water Drop:	(in)							
SEVERITY: (Circle #) Section of pipe undermined by erosion and could collapse in the near future; a pipe running across the bed or suspended above the stream; a long section along the edge of the pipe is exposed; or a manhole stack that is located in the center of the stream channel and there is evidence of stack failure. Section of pipe undermined by erosion and could collapse in the near future; a pipe running across the bottom of the stream where nearly the entire side of the pipe is exposed; or a manhole stack that is located in the center of the stream channel and there is evidence of stack failure. A moderately long section of pipe is partially exposed but there is no immediate threat that the pipe will be undermined and break in the immediate future. The primary concern is that the pipe may be punctured by large debris during a large storm event. Small section of exposed pipe, stream bank near pipe is stable; the pipe is across the bottom of the top of the undermined and break in the immediate future. The primary concern is that the pipe may be punctured by large debris during a large storm event.				s across the bottom of the portion of the top of the pipe losed but is reinforced with using a blockage to upstream ble stack that is at the edge of					
Leaking= 5		5		4	3		2		1
NOTES:						Ri	EPORTED TO	LOCAL AU	THORITIES Yes No



DATE:/	ASSESSED BY:					
TIME: :AM/PM	Р ното ID: (Camera-Pic #)	/#				
'"Long°_	'" LMK:	GPS: (Unit ID)				
	restoration Riparian Manageme	ent				
ischarge Prevention Other:						
	REPORTED TO LOCAL AL	THORITIES TYES NO				
	KEI OKTED TO LOCAL AC	THORITES Tes Tes				
DATE:/	ASSESSED BY:					
TIME: :AM/PM	Рното ID: (Camera-Pic #)	/#				
'"Long°	'_" LMK:	GPS: (Unit ID)				
	restoration Riparian Manageme	ent				
no Discharge Prevention Other: DESCRIBE:						
	REPORTED TO LOCAL AL	THORITIES TYES NO				
	KEI OKTED TO LOCAL AC	THORITES Tes Tes				
DATE:/	ASSESSED BY:					
TIME: :AM/PM	Р ното ID: (Camera-Pic #)	/#				
'"Long°_	'" LMK:	GPS: (Unit ID)				
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE						
ischarge Prevention Other:						
	TIME::AM/PM''LONG^ orm water retrofit	TIME::AM/PM				



DATE:/	ASSESSED BY:					
TIME: :AM/PM	Р ното ID: (Camera-Pic #)	/#				
'"Long°_	'" LMK:	GPS: (Unit ID)				
	restoration Riparian Manageme	ent				
ischarge Prevention Other:						
	REPORTED TO LOCAL AL	THORITIES TYES NO				
	KEI OKTED TO LOCAL AC	THORITES Tes Tes				
DATE:/	ASSESSED BY:					
TIME: :AM/PM	Рното ID: (Camera-Pic #)	/#				
'"Long°	'_" LMK:	GPS: (Unit ID)				
	restoration Riparian Manageme	ent				
no Discharge Prevention Other: DESCRIBE:						
	REPORTED TO LOCAL AL	THORITIES TYES NO				
	KEI OKTED TO LOCAL AC	THORITES Tes Tes				
DATE:/	ASSESSED BY:					
TIME: :AM/PM	Р ното ID: (Camera-Pic #)	/#				
'"Long°_	'" LMK:	GPS: (Unit ID)				
POTENTIAL RESTORATION CANDIDATE						
ischarge Prevention Other:						
	TIME::AM/PM''LONG^ orm water retrofit	TIME::AM/PM				

SURVEY REACH I	D:	WTRSHD/SUBSHD:		D ATE:/	/ ASSESS	SED BY:
START TIM	E: : AM	/PM LMK:	END TIME:	:AM/PM	LMK:	GPS ID:
		G ° ' ''	LAT°	" Long	۰ ،	-,-
DESCRIPTION:		<u> </u>	DESCRIPTION:	_		
RAIN IN LAST 24 HO	ours 🗆 Heavy r	ain Steady rain	PRESENT CONDITIONS	☐ Heavy rain	☐ Steady rain	☐ Intermittent
□ None	☐ Intermit	tent Trace	☐ Clear	☐ Trace	☐ Overcast	☐ Partly cloudy
SURROUNDING LAN		trial Commercial course Park	☐ Urban/Residential ☐ Crop ☐	☐ Suburban/Res ☐ Pasture	☐ Forested ☐ Other:	□ Institutional
AVERAGE	CONDITIONS (check applicable)	REACH S	KETCH AND SIT	TE IMPACT TRA	CKING
BASE FLOW AS % CHANNEL WIDTH	□ 0-25% □25-50 %	□ 50%-75% □ 75-100%	Simple planar sketch oj within the survey rea features d		UT, TR, MI) as wel	l as any additional
DOMINANT SUBSTR ☐ Silt/clay (fine or ☐ Sand (gritty) ☐ Gravel (0.1-2.5	slick)	☐ Cobble (2.5 –10") ☐ Boulder (>10") ☐ Bed rock	,			
WATER CLARITY ☐ Stained (clear, n ☐ Other (chemicals,	aturally colored) dyes)					
AQUATIC PLANTS IN STREAM		none \square some \square lots one \square some \square lots				
WILDLIFE IN OR AROUND STREAM	(Evidence of) ☐ Fish ☐ B ☐ Snails ☐ C	eaver				
STREAM SHADING (water surface)	☐ Mostly shad ☐ Halfway (≥ ☐ Partially sha ☐ Unshaded (aded (<u>></u> 25%)				
CHANNEL	Downcutti	ng Bed scour				
DYNAMICS	Widening	Bank failure				
Unknown	Headcuttin Aggrading Sed. depos	Slope failure				
CHANNE	Height: LT ba	nk(ft)				
CHANNEL DIMENSIONS	RT ba					
(FACING DOWNSTREAM)	Width: Botto	m(ft)				
DOWNSTREAM)	Top	(ft)				
I I	REACH ACCESSII					
Good: Open area in	Fair: Forested or developed area	Difficult. Must cross wetland, steep slope, or				
public ownership, sufficient room to	adjacent to stream	. sensitive areas to get to				
stockpile materials,	Access requires to removal or impact					
easy stream channel	landscaped areas.	and/or located a great				
access for heavy equipment using	Stockpile areas	distance from stream.				
existing roads or trails.	small or distant fro stream.	m Specialized heavy equipment required.				
	4 3	2 1				
NOTES: (biggest prob	blem you see in sui	vey reach)				
				Repor	TED TO AUTHORI	TIES YES NO

OVERALL STREAM CONDITION								
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
IN-STREAM HABITAT (May modify criteria based on appropriate habitat regime)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well- suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
VEGETATIVE PROTECTION (score each bank, determine sides by facing downstream)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
BANK EROSION (facing downstream)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Grade and width stable; isolated areas of bank failure/erosion; likely caused by a pipe outfall, local scour, impaired riparian vegetation or adjacent use.	Past downcutting evident, active stream widening, banks actively eroding at a moderate rate; no threat to property or infrastructure	Active downcutting; tall banks on both sides of the stream eroding at a fast rate; erosion contributing significant amount of sediment to stream; obvious threat to property or infrastructure.				
	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
FLOODPLAIN CONNECTION	High flows (greater than bankfull) able to enter floodplain. Stream not deeply entrenched.	High flows (greater than bankfull) able to enter floodplain. Stream not deeply entrenched.	High flows (greater than bankfull) not able to enter floodplain. Stream deeply entrenched.	High flows (greater than bankfull) not able to enter floodplain. Stream deeply entrenched.				
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	Over	ALL BUFFER AND FLOODPLAI	IN CONDITION					
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
VEGETATED BUFFER WIDTH	Width of buffer zone >50 feet; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of buffer zone 25-50 feet; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of buffer zone 10-25 feet; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of buffer zone <10 feet: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
FLOODPLAIN VEGETATION	Predominant floodplain vegetation type is mature forest	Predominant floodplain vegetation type is young forest	Predominant floodplain vegetation type is shrub or old field	Predominant floodplain vegetation type is turf or crop land				
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
FLOODPLAIN HABITAT	Even mix of wetland and non-wetland habitats, evidence of standing/ponded water	Even mix of wetland and non-wetland habitats, no evidence of standing/ponded water	Either all wetland or all non- wetland habitat, evidence of standing/ponded water	Either all wetland or all non- wetland habitat, no evidence of standing/ponded water				
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
FLOODPLAIN ENCROACH- MENT	No evidence of floodplain encroachment in the form of fill material, land development, or manmade structures	Minor floodplain encroachment in the form of fill material, land development, or manmade structures, but not effecting floodplain function	Moderate floodplain encroachment in the form of filling, land development, or manmade structures, some effect on floodplain function	Significant floodplain encroachment (i.e. fill material, land development, or man-made structures). Significant effect on floodplain function				
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Sub Total In-stream: /80 + Buffer/Floodplain: /80 = Total Survey Reach /160								

Photo Inventory (By Camera)

Project:	This field sheet is to be completed AS photos are taken in the field. The intent is to			
Group:	force us to organize pictures taken on a camera basis. Fill out one sheet per camera (add sheets as needed). Only fill in Date/Reach/Location ID when you start in a			
Camera:	new spatial or temporal location.			

Date	Stream/ Reach	Location ID	Photo #	Description

Date	Stream/ Reach	Location ID	Photo #	Description

Comments: