## WATERSHED SCIENCE BULLETIN



Journal of the Association of Watershed & Stormwater Professionals

A program of the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

**FEATURED**CONTENT

## **Exploring Alternatives to Pollutant-Based TMDLs**

Responding to the First Impervious Cover-based TMDL in the Nation /11

Chester L. Arnold, Christopher Bellucci, Kelly Collins, and Rich Claytor

TMDLs: Improving Stakeholder Acceptance with Science-based Allocations /19

Jason A. Hubbart, John Holmes, and Georganne Bowman

## **Integrating TMDLs and MS4 Permits**

Collaboration, Clean Water Act Residual Designation Authority, and Collective Permitting:

A Case Study of Long Creek /25

Dave Owen, Curtis Bohlen, Peter Glaser, Zach Henderson, and Christopher Kilian

Tracking Watershed Restoration in Montgomery County, Maryland /35

Nick L. Lindow, Steven P. Shofar, and Meosotis C. Curtis

## Adaptive Implementation of TMDLs

Adaptive Management and Effective Implementation of Sediment TMDLs in the Lake Tahoe Basin, USA /42
Mark E. Grismer, Kevin M. Drake, and Michael P. Hogan

#### Center for Watershed Protection Feature

Monroe County, New York, Field Tests the Watershed Treatment Model 2010 Beta Edition /49
Paula Smith, Andy Sansone, and Deb Caraco

## **Vignettes**

Reducing DDT and Sediment Loads in the Yakima River: A Success Story /55

Thermal Load Trading in the Tualatin River Basin: A Watershed-based NPDES Permit /56

Optimizing Resources To Achieve Pollutant Reductions in Wisconsin /57

Lake Clarity Crediting Program for Lake Tahoe: An Adaptive Management Approach for Water Quality Credits /59

BULLETINDFPARTMENTS

## **Bulletin Board**

From the Editor's Desk /5

Overview: The ABCs of TMDLs /7

## **Ask the Experts**

Xavier Swamikannu, retired, chief of the Stormwater Permitting Program for the Los Angeles Regional Water Board /61 Rick Parrish, senior attorney, Southern Environmental Law Center /63

Michael Bateman, deputy bureau chief, Resource Regulation, Northwest Florida Water Management District /65

#### **Watershed Spotlight**

AWSPs Photolog Contest Winner **/34**Nominate a "Watershed Superstar" **/66** 

### **Latest News from AWSPs**

Membership Information /67
Next Issue /67
Upcoming Events /67
Sponsorship /67

#### **Book Review**

Up River: A Novel of Attempted Restoration by George Ivey /33

# VATERAHEDBU

Journal of the Association of Watershed & Stormwater Professionals A program of the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc.

8390 Main St. 2nd Floor • Ellicott City, MD 21043 • 410-461-8323 (phone)

- 410-461-8324 (fax) www.awsps.org Bulletin@awsps.org

Watershed Science Bulletin (ISSN: 2156-8545) is the journal of the Association of Watershed and Stormwater Professionals (AWSPs), and is published semi-annually by the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc. (CWP).

#### **KEY CONTACTS:**

Co-Editors-in-Chief Karen Cappiella (kc@cwp.org) Neely Law (nll@cwp.org)

Associate Editor Lisa Fraley-McNeal (bulletin@awsps.org)

> **Sponsorship Coordinator** Erin Johnson (etj@cwp.org)

**AWSPs Membership** (membership@awsps.org)

MISSION: The mission of the Watershed Science Bulletin (the Bulletin) is to synthesize research and experience from the numerous disciplines that inform watershed management and transmit this valuable information to researchers, regulators, practitioners, managers, and others working to protect and restore watersheds everywhere.

**COPYRIGHT** © 2010 by the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or an information storage and retrieval system, without written permission.

**DISCLAIMER:** Opinions and conclusions expressed by authors are their own and should not be considered those of AWSPs or CWP or its staff, members, or sponsors. Sponsorships in this publication do not constitute an endorsement of any product or service. Mention of any trade name in the Watershed Science Bulletin does not constitute an endorsement by AWSPs or CWP and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products or services that may also be suitable.

> **POSTMASTER:** Please send address changes to the Watershed Science Bulletin address listed above.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND BACK ISSUES: Subscription is included for AWSPs members as part of member dues. The subscription rate for non-members is \$89/year. Single copies and back issues can be purchased for \$49 each. For a complete listing of back issues or to purchase a subscription, please visit www.awsps.org.

SUBMISSION: To submit an article, please visit www.awsps.org.

Graphic Design by Down to Earth Design, LLC (d2edesign.com)

Copyediting by Elizabeth Stallman Brown

Printed by the YGS Group, York, PA.

## Cover photo courtesy of Bryan Seipp (www.btseippphotography.com), Watershed Manager, Center for Watershed Protection

This photo was taken along Pocono Creek in Monroe County, PA, near Camelback Mountain. Like many streams in Pennsylvania, it is dominated by a forested watershed and provides critical habitat for trout populations. Some tributaries in the Pocono Creek watershed qualify for the highest level of water quality protection under Pennsylvania regulations. Population growth and the resulting urbanization and hydrologic changes are a threat to the health of the watershed.

### **EDITORIAL COMMITTEE**

#### **Chester Arnold**

Water Quality Educator and Associate Director University of Connecticut Center for Land Use Education and Research

#### Roger Bannerman

Water Resources Management Specialist Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

### Derek B. Booth, Ph.D., PE, PG

President (Stillwater) and Affiliate Professor (UW) Stillwater Sciences and University of Washington

#### Eric Eckl

**Environmental Communication Consultant** Water Words that Work, LLC

#### Bill Frost, PE, D.WRE

Senior Associate

KCI Technologies, Inc., Water Resources Practice

## Bill Hunt, Ph.D., PE

Assistant Professor and Extension Specialist North Carolina State University

## Joseph MacDonald, Ph.D., AICP

Program Development Senior Associate American Planning Association

#### Tracie-Lynn Nadeau, Ph.D.

**Environmental Scientist** 

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10

#### **Bill Selbig**

Hydrologist

U.S. Geological Survey, Wisconsin Water Science Center

### Kevin Sellner, Ph.D.

Executive Director

Chesapeake Research Consortium

#### Neal Shapiro, MMP

Watershed Section Supervisor and Watershed Management Coordinator City of Santa Monica Office of Sustainability and the Environment

## Lisa Shipek

Executive Director

Watershed Management Group, AZ

#### Don Waye

Nonpoint Source Coordinator, Outreach and CZARA

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds

#### **GUEST REVIEWERS**

Helen Rueda

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10

### CENTER FOR WATERSHED PROTECTION STAFF CONTRIBUTORS

Hye Yeong Kwon, Executive Director Sadie Drescher. Watershed Planner Dave Hirschman, Program Director Laurel Woodworth, Stormwater and Watershed Planner

#### **List of Sources**

Johnson, A., K. Carmack, B. Era-Miller, B. Lubliner, S. Golding, and R. Coots. 2010. Yakima River pesticides and PCBs total maximum daily load: Volume 1. Water quality study findings. Publication no. 10-03-018, April. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

Joy, Joe, and Barbara Patterson. 1997. A suspended sediment and DDT total maximum daily load evaluation report for the Yakima River. Publication no. 97-321, July. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

National Association of Conservation Districts. No date. TMDL case study: Washington. http://www.nacd.info/policy/environment/water/tmdl/casestudies/washington.phtml

Rinella, Joe F., Pixie A. Hamilton, and Stuart W. McKenzie. 1993. Persistence of the DDT pesticide in the Yakima River basin Washington. Circular 1090. Reston, VA: US Geological Survey.

Roza–Sunnyside Board of Joint Control. 2009. Water quality improvements in RSBOJC irrigation return waterways, 1997–2008. March. Sunnyside, WA: Roza–Sunnyside Board of Joint Control.

Washington State Department of Ecology. 2008. Water Quality Program. Publication no. 08-10-023, April. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

## For More Information

For more information, contact Ryan Anderson, Environmental Specialist, Washington State Department of Ecology, at rand461@ecy.wa.gov.

## **Case Study Contributors**

Contributors to this case study include Helen Rueda, US Environmental Protection Agency Region 10; Ryan Anderson, Washington State Department of Ecology; Chris Coffin, Washington State Department of Ecology; Joe Joy, Washington State Department of Ecology; Mike Tobin, North Yakima Conservation District; Jim Trull, Sunnyside Irrigation District.

# Thermal Load Trading in the Tualatin River Basin: A Watershed-based NPDES Permit

The low-gradient Tualatin River, located primarily in Washington County just west of Portland, Oregon, is part of the larger Willamette River basin. Roughly one-third of the watershed has been in agricultural use since the early 20th century, and the lower third of the watershed has been significantly impacted by urbanization. In particular, water temperatures have increased measurably over the past several decades. Warm rivers and streams constitute a major limiting factor for the recovery of salmonids, many species of which are listed in Oregon under the Endangered Species Act. In 2001, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issued a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for temperature in the Tualatin River, primarily to address salmonid recovery needs.

Clean Water Services (CWS), a special purpose district utility, provides wastewater collection and treatment and stormwater management services to over 500,000 residents in Washington County. The TMDL included a wasteload allocation to CWS wastewater treatment facilities that mandated a nearly 95% reduction in thermal loads (from 9 x 108 kcal/day down to  $4.4 \times 10^7$  kcal/day), requiring the effluent temperature to decline from  $72^\circ F$  to nearly  $62^\circ F$ . During the summer months, discharged effluent from CWS facilities can make up over 50% of the flow in the river. The TMDL

showed that approximately 40% of the thermal energy input into the Tualatin River comes from the sun's thermal energy reaching the river in altered urban and rural landscapes—essentially a loss of shade.

CWS estimated capital and operational costs of \$150 million to install and operate chillers at its wastewater facilities to meet the TMDL requirement. At the same time, it recognized the opportunity to deliver greater ecological benefits by restoring streams and, with the cooperation of DEQ, chose to implement nonstructural methods by developing a thermal load trading program (shade credits) coupled with the release of stored water from two reservoirs to add cool water to the river.

The flexibility to take this approach was provided by CWS' 2004 watershed-based National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, the first in the nation to allow temperature trading (point to nonpoint thermal load reduction credits) to comply with permit requirements. Key elements of the program include a capital improvement program, a Tree-For-All program for cities, and an Enhanced Conservation Reserve Program for rural areas. In the latter, CWS pays farmers with annual riparian land lease payments. This allows CWS, working through local soil and

56 WATERSHEDSCIENCEBULLETIN

water conservation districts, to plant and maintain riparian areas on the enrolled land.

Since 2004, 63 urban and rural projects have planted over 1.6 million native trees and shrubs and have established 35 miles of riparian corridor; as of 2007, the riparian part of the trading option had cost \$4.3 million. At the end of the five-year NPDES permit cycle, CWS had developed all of its needed credits for permit compliance plus a small surplus for future needs.

Several factors have contributed to the success of the program, including a focus on the highest priorities in the watershed for restoration and water quality improvement, regulatory flexibility, the development of important third-party partnerships, and the capacity to implement and maintain restoration on a large scale.

In response to the strong interest expressed by other utilities in the United States and abroad, Clean Water Services established the Clean Water Institute, a nonprofit 501 c3 organization, to aid other utilities in the development of water quality trading strategies and innovative approaches to watershed management.

For more information contact Bruce Roll, Director of Watershed Management, bruce@cleanwaterinstitute.org

#### **List of Sources**

Abdalla, C. 2008. Land use policy: Lessons from water quality markets. Choices 23(4): 22–28.

Clean Water Services. 2005. Healthy streams plan. Hillsboro, OR: Clean Water Services.

Clean Water Services. 2007. Tualatin River Flow Management Technical Committee: 2007 annual report. Hillsboro, OR: Clean Water Services.

Clean Water Services. 2009. Temperature management plan, credit trading activities, annual report. Hillsboro, OR: Clean Water Services.

Roll, B., B. Cordon, P. Guillozet, K. Petersen-Morgan, B. Vaughn, and K. Smith. 2008. Sustainable integrated watershed management in the Tualatin basin. Presented at Sustainability 2008: Green Practices for the Water Environment Conference, Washington DC.

#### For More Information

For more information, contact Bruce Roll, director of Watershed Management, Clean Water Services, at rollb@cleanwaterservices.org, or Bobby Cochran, executive director, Willamette Partnership, at cochran@willamette-partnership.org.

## **Case Study Contributors**

Contributors to this case study included Tracie Nadeau, US Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Oregon Operations Office; Bobby Cochran, Willamette Partnership; and Bruce Roll, Clean Water Services.

# Optimizing Resources To Achieve Pollutant Reductions in Wisconsin

The ultimate goal for many total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) is to implement the load reduction practices and strategies that will achieve the TMDL restoration goal in a cost-effective manner, while sharing the burden of implementation equitably. This is easier said than done. However, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), along with its project partners, is steadfastly moving forward to implement such an approach to address total suspended solids (TSS) and total phosphorus (TP) in the Lower Fox River basin (LFRB) and Green Bay.

The TMDL is led by WDNR, which is working in partnership with The Cadmus Group, Inc., US Geological Survey, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee WATER Institute, University of Wisconsin Sea Grant, Green Bay Metropolitan Sewerage District, Brown County Land and Water Conservation Department, and the Oneida Tribe. As part of a pilot project sponsored by the US Environmental Protection Agency, The Cadmus Group, Inc., designed a watershed-based optimization modeling framework, shown in Figure 1. The modeling framework is intended to identify cost-effective combinations of best management practices (BMPs) to target both point and nonpoint source pollution and to achieve the load reduction goals set by the TMDL.

An initial pilot application of the optimization model (prior to TMDL development) compared agricultural BMPs, along with their implementation costs, and identified the optimal scenario—that is, the most cost-effective combination of BMPs that would achieve the TP load reduction. In addition, the pilot application estimated potential TP load reductions

FALL2010 57