

# WATERSHED SCIENCE BULLETIN



Journal of the Association of Watershed & Stormwater Professionals  
*A program of the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc.*

SPRING 2011



**Integrating Climate Change Science into  
Watershed and Stormwater Management**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## FEATURED CONTENT

### Linking Stormwater and Climate Change: Retooling for Adaptation / 11

*Dave J. Hirschman, Deb Caraco, and Sadie R. Drescher*

### Watershed Management and Climate Change in New York State: The Great Lakes Compact / 19

*Jon W. Davis and Khristopher Dodson*

### Increased Streamflow in Agricultural Watersheds of the Midwest: Implications for Management / 25

*Christian F. Lenhart, Heidi Peterson, and John Nieber*

### Sea Level Rise Adaptation at the Local Government Level in Virginia / 32

*William A. Stiles, Jr.*

### Vignettes

Recommendations for Developing Saltmarsh Buffer Widths as Sea Levels Rise / 37

Adaptive Approaches for Riparian Forest Management To Offset Climate Change Effects / 39

CAKE: Your Online Climate Adaptation Destination / 40

Arizona NEMO Preparing Watershed Communities for Climate Variability with Best Management Practices / 41

Adaptation Strategies To Address Climate Change Impacts on Wisconsin's Water Resources / 42

A Climate Change Action Plan for the Florida Reef System / 44

Building a Network of Climate-Resilient Watersheds in Oregon / 46

What's in a Name? Not Much if It's "Climate Change" / 48

Oyster River Culvert Analysis Informs Coastal Climate Change Adaptation / 49

## BULLETIN DEPARTMENTS

### Bulletin Board

From the Editor's Desk / 5

Guest Editorial / 8

### Ask the Experts

Margaret Davidson, Director, NOAA Coastal Services Center / 53

Karen Metchis, Senior Climate Advisor, USEPA Office of Water / 54

John Jacob, Coastal Community Development Specialist, Texas Sea Grant College Program and Director, Texas Coastal Watershed Program / 56

Ken Potter, Professor, University of Wisconsin–Madison / 57

### Watershed Spotlight

Watershed Superstar / 59

AWSPs Photolog Contest Winner / 60

### Latest News from AWSPs

Membership Information / 61

Fall 2011 Bulletin Issue / 61

Upcoming Events / 61

Sponsorship / 61

Founding Members / 62

### Report Review

*Water, Climate Change, and Forests: Watershed Stewardship for a Changing Climate*, a report by Michael J. Furniss, et al. / 52

# WATERSHED SCIENCE BULLETIN



Journal of the Association of Watershed & Stormwater Professionals  
A program of the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc.

8390 Main St. 2nd Floor • Ellicott City, MD 21043 • 410-461-8323 (phone)  
410-461-8324 (fax) • www.awsp.org • Bulletin@awsp.org

Watershed Science Bulletin (ISSN: 2156-8545) is the journal of the Association of Watershed and Stormwater Professionals (AWSPs), and is published semi-annually by the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc. (CWP).

## KEY CONTACTS:

### Co-Editors-in-Chief

Neely Law (nl@cwp.org)  
Karen Capiella (kc@cwp.org)

### Associate Editor

Lisa Fraley-McNeal (bulletin@awsp.org)

### Sponsorship Coordinator

Erin Johnson (etj@cwp.org)

### AWSPs Membership

(membership@awsp.org)

**MISSION:** The mission of the *Watershed Science Bulletin* (the *Bulletin*) is to synthesize research and experience from the numerous disciplines that inform watershed management and transmit this valuable information to researchers, regulators, practitioners, managers, and others working to protect and restore watersheds everywhere.

**COPYRIGHT © 2011** by the *Center for Watershed Protection, Inc.*

All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or an information storage and retrieval system, without written permission.

**DISCLAIMER:** Opinions and conclusions expressed by authors are their own and should not be considered those of AWSPs or CWP or its staff, members, or sponsors. Sponsorships in this publication do not constitute an endorsement of any product or service. Mention of any trade name in the *Watershed Science Bulletin* does not constitute an endorsement by AWSPs or CWP and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products or services that may also be suitable.

**POSTMASTER:** Please send address changes to the Watershed Science Bulletin address listed above.

**SUBSCRIPTIONS AND BACK ISSUES:** Subscription is included for AWSPs members as part of member dues. The subscription rate for nonmembers is \$89/year. Single copies and back issues can be purchased for \$49 each. For a complete listing of back issues or to purchase a subscription, please visit [www.awsp.org](http://www.awsp.org).

**SUBMISSION:** To submit an article, please visit [www.awsp.org](http://www.awsp.org).

Graphic Design by Down to Earth Design, LLC ([d2edesign.com](http://d2edesign.com))

Copyediting by Elizabeth Stallman Brown

Printed by the YGS Group, York, PA.

Cover photo courtesy of Lori Lilly,

Watershed Ecologist / Planner, Center for Watershed Protection

This photo was taken along Young's Bay estuary in Astoria, OR. The Young's Bay estuary is a component of the Columbia River estuary, a nationally significant estuary in the northwest corner of Oregon that supports some of the largest anadromous fish runs in the world and provides unique habitat for sensitive and endangered species.



## EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

**Chester Arnold**

*Water Quality Educator and Associate Director*  
University of Connecticut Center for Land Use Education and Research

**Roger Bannerman**

*Water Resources Management Specialist*  
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

**Derek B. Booth, PhD, PE, PG**

*President (Stillwater) and Affiliate Professor (UW)*  
Stillwater Sciences and University of Washington

**Eric Eckl**

*Environmental Communication Consultant*  
Water Words that Work, LLC

**Bill Frost, PE, D WRE**

*Senior Associate, KCI Technologies, Inc., Water Resources Practice*

**Bill Hunt, PhD, PE**

*Assistant Professor and Extension Specialist*  
North Carolina State University

**Joseph MacDonald, PhD, AICP**

*Program Development Senior Associate, American Planning Association*

**Tracie-Lynn Nadeau, PhD**

*Environmental Scientist*  
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10

**Bill Selbig**

*Hydrologist, US Geological Survey, Wisconsin Water Science Center*

**Kevin Sellner, PhD**

*Executive Director, Chesapeake Research Consortium*

**Neal Shapiro, MMP**

*Watershed Section Supervisor and Watershed Management Coordinator*  
City of Santa Monica Office of Sustainability and the Environment

**Lisa Shipek**

*Executive Director, Watershed Management Group, AZ*

**Don Wayne**

*Nonpoint Source Coordinator, Outreach and CZARA*  
US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds

## GUEST REVIEWERS

**Steven Greb**

*Research Scientist, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources*

**Jessica Whitehead, PhD**

*Regional Climate Extension Specialist, South Carolina Sea Grant Consortium /North Carolina Sea Grant*

## CENTER FOR WATERSHED PROTECTION STAFF CONTRIBUTORS

Hye Yeong Kwon, *Executive Director*

Deb Caraco, *Senior Watershed Engineer*

Sadie Drescher, *Watershed Planner*

Dave Hirschman, *Program Director*

Cecilia Lane, *Watershed Technician*

Lori Lilly, *Watershed Ecologist/Planner*

Chris Swann, *Watershed Planner/CIO*

Laurel Woodworth, *Stormwater and Watershed Planner*



## Recommendations for Developing Saltmarsh Buffer Widths as Sea Levels Rise

The Delaware Inland Bays are three shallow coastal lagoons of great recreational and ecological importance to the state and region. Their 777 km<sup>2</sup> (300 mi<sup>2</sup>) mixed-use watershed contributes excess nutrients that have eutrophied the 78 km<sup>2</sup> (30 mi<sup>2</sup>) estuary (Figure 1). Acting to moderate the effects of this pollution are approximately 4,000 ha (10,000 acres) of saltmarsh that define the boundary between the land and the Bays. Because these signature ecosystems of the estuary are critical to maintaining water quality and aquatic life, their protection is of the highest priority for the Delaware Center for the Inland Bays National Estuary Program (the Center). Now more than ever, meeting the Center's conservation and management plan goal of maximum protection for saltmarshes is dependent on an understanding of marsh response to rising seas.

Rising sea levels press marsh boundaries landward over adjacent uplands, while at the same time marsh edges are eroded by wave action to become shallow bay bottom. The net result is the inland migration of a marsh system observable over a human lifetime. Maximizing future marsh acreage under conditions of rising sea level requires unobstructed pathways for saltmarsh migration.

Construction adjacent to marshes can act as a barrier to marsh migration; such construction became increasingly common during the past two decades. From 1992 to 2007, development within the Inland Bays' watershed increased by 67 km<sup>2</sup> (26 mi<sup>2</sup>) or 57%, with much construction occurring adjacent to tidal areas.

Recognizing that an existing County wetland buffer ordinance was inadequate and unenforced, the Center developed recommendations for enhanced buffers between marshes and new development. This work was part of a complete set of recommendations for a water quality buffer system submitted for consideration to the State of Delaware in 2008 during the development of the pollution control strategy (PCS) for the Bays. The PCS was designed to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus loads to the Bays from 40% to 85%, in accordance with established total maximum daily load regulations.

The Center's recommendations for saltmarsh buffers were based on research by the University of Delaware's Wendy Carey, who estimated rates of marsh migration by interpreting aerial photography over the period 1944–1989. During this period, the tidal prism of the estuary's inlet to the ocean increased by nearly five times as a result of scouring caused by its earlier stabilization with rock jetties. This created higher high tides at the landward boundary of marshes, which probably added to the effect of regional sea level rise on the landward migration of marshes.

Marsh migration rates varied based on the slope of the adjacent lands, with marshes next to gradually sloping lands

( $\leq 0.08$  rise over run) migrating an average of 1.7 m (5.7 ft) per year, and those next to steeply sloping lands ( $> 0.08$  rise over run) 0.3 m (1.1 ft) per year. The Center converted the rates to the number of years it would take for marshes to migrate across buffers of different widths and slopes (Table 1); the resulting values thus function as simple planning horizons for effective buffers.



Figure 1. Aerial photograph of the connection between the Indian River Bay, a temperate coastal lagoon, and the Atlantic Ocean. (Photograph by Chris Bason)



Figure 2. Tidewater inundates a residential lot for sale in a study development during a nor'easter in the Indian River Bay watershed, Delaware. This illustrates that wide buffers can protect homeowners as well as marshes. (Photograph by Chris Bason)

Through a GIS-based exercise, the Center evaluated the impact of the recommended buffer widths on randomly selected development project parcels proposed to the State. The percentage of developable land for a project that the most protective saltmarsh buffers encompassed ranged from less than 1% to 64% (Figure 2). This, predictably, was dependent on the amount of saltmarsh in or adjacent to the development and the slope of the uplands adjacent to the marshes.

Overall, the work illustrated (1) the surprising speed at which marsh systems can move across the Mid-Atlantic coastal plain, where rates of sea level rise are relatively high; (2) how buffer widths that maximize pollutant removal in coastal plain freshwater streams (between 24 to 46 m, or 80 to 150 ft) may provide only a few years of protection for many saltmarshes; and (3) that development site design would have to change significantly to accommodate marsh migration for low-elevation sites with gradual slopes.

The results of this analysis were influential in the decision by the State of Delaware to assume regulation of saltmarsh buffers for new major subdivisions under the Inland Bays PCS in 2008. However, the State decided not to define the width of buffers based on the provided migration rates of marshes, but instead included an option intended to offer flexibility for developers whereby they could choose to establish either 100 foot or 50 foot salt marsh buffers dependent on the level of stormwater quality management practices incorporated on the subdivision.

In 2010, researchers at the University of Delaware began a new remote sensing study of marsh change that will include refinement of estimated migration rates by sampling an expanded number of marshes. The study, expected to be completed by 2013, will also examine changes in the rate

of marsh change over time (including changes since the previous analysis) and explore potential relationships between marsh migration rates and both climate and development. Historical aerial photography and satellite imagery will be used in the analysis.

**List of Sources**

Bason, C. W. 2008. Recommendations for an Inland Bays watershed water quality buffer system. Rehoboth Beach, DE: Delaware Center for the Inland Bays.

Brinson, M., R. R. Christian, and L. K. Blum. 1995. Multiple states in the sea-level induced transition from terrestrial forest to estuary. *Estuaries* 18:648.

Carey, W. L. 1996. Transgression of Delaware’s fringing tidal salt marshes: Surficial morphology, subsurface stratigraphy, vertical accretion rates, and geometry of adjacent and antecedent surfaces. PhD diss., University of Delaware.

Weston Environmental Consultants. 1995. The Delaware Inland Bays comprehensive conservation and management plan: Technical appendix. Dover, DE: Delaware Inland Bays Estuary Program.

**For More Information**

For more information, contact Chris Bason, Deputy Director of the Delaware Center for the Inland Bays National Estuary Program, at [chrisbason@inlandbays.org](mailto:chrisbason@inlandbays.org).

**Contributors**

Contributors to this vignette include Chris Bason, Delaware Center for the Inland Bays, and Andrew Homsey, University of Delaware College of Education and Public Policy Institute for Public Administration.

Table 1. The average number of years it would take for marshes to migrate across buffers of different widths by the slope of the buffer for two of Delaware’s Inland Bays. (A gradual slope is defined as  $\leq 0.08$ , and a steep slope is  $> 0.08$ ). Data are derived from migration rates estimated for the period 1944–1989.

Buffer Width m (ft)	Rehoboth Bay		Indian River Bay	
	Gradual Slope	Steep Slope	Gradual Slope	Steep Slope
15 (50)	10	35	8	61
23 (75)	14	52	12	91
31 (100)	19	69	17	122
61 (200)	38	139	33	244
91 (300)	57	208	49	366
122 (400)	76	278	66	488
152 (500)	95	347	82	610