

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5401459>

Children living in areas with more trees have lower prevalence of asthma

Article in *Journal of epidemiology and community health* · August 2008

DOI: 10.1136/jech.2007.071894 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

172

READS

377

5 authors, including:



Gina Lovasi

Columbia University

108 PUBLICATIONS 3,807 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Matthew Perzanowski

Columbia University

158 PUBLICATIONS 4,309 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Andrew Rundle

Columbia University

273 PUBLICATIONS 7,887 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



U.S. Social Workers' Attitudes towards Immigrants - A National Survey [View project](#)



Children living in areas with more street trees have lower prevalence of asthma

G S Lovasi, J W Quinn, K M Neckerman, M S Perzanowski and A Rundle

J Epidemiol Community Health 2008;62;647-649; originally published online 1 May 2008;
doi:10.1136/jech.2007.071894

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/62/7/647>

These include:

References

This article cites 20 articles, 6 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/62/7/647#BIBL>

Rapid responses

One rapid response has been posted to this article, which you can access for free at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/62/7/647#responses>

You can respond to this article at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/62/7/647>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/>

Children living in areas with more street trees have lower prevalence of asthma

G S Lovasi,¹ J W Quinn,¹ K M Neckerman,¹ M S Perzanowski,² A Rundle³

¹ Institute for Social and Economic Research and Policy;
² Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health;
³ Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Correspondence to:
Dr G S Lovasi, 820 IAB, MC 3355, 420 W 118th St, New York, NY 10027, USA; gl2225@columbia.edu

Accepted 25 February 2008

ABSTRACT

Background: The prevalence of childhood asthma in the USA increased by 50% from 1980 to 2000, with especially high prevalence in poor urban communities.

Methods: Data on the prevalence of asthma among children aged 4–5 years and on hospitalisations for asthma among children less than 15 years old were available for 42 health service catchment areas within New York City. Street tree counts were provided by the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation. The proximity to pollution sources, sociodemographic characteristics and population density for each area were also measured.

Results: Controlling for potential confounders, an increase in tree density of 1 standard deviation (SD, 343 trees/km²) was associated with a lower prevalence of asthma (RR, 0.71 per SD of tree density; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.79), but not with hospitalisations for asthma (RR, 0.89 per SD of tree density; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.06).

Conclusions: Street trees were associated with a lower prevalence of early childhood asthma. This study does not permit inference that trees are causally related to asthma at the individual level. The PlaNYC sustainability initiative, which includes a commitment to plant one million trees by the year 2017, offers an opportunity for a large prospective evaluation.

An epidemic of childhood asthma has been documented around the world¹ and within the USA.^{2–3} The exact cause of the increase remains elusive, but prevailing theories cite changes in the environment, indoors and outdoors, and changes in lifestyle as potential causes for the increase in the prevalence of asthma.^{1–6} Racial, ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in asthma are substantial,^{7–9} with an especially high prevalence of asthma in poor urban communities.^{10–13} In the USA, a disproportionate part of the recent increase in the prevalence of asthma has been observed in the inner cities,^{13–14} which has contributed to its geographical variation. For example, early childhood prevalence of asthma is three times higher in the East Harlem area of New York City than in the adjacent but more affluent Upper East Side area.¹⁵

Street trees may explain geographic variation in the prevalence of asthma within urban environments. Trees may help prevent asthma, either by encouraging outdoor play or through an effect on local air quality. According to the “hygiene hypothesis”,^{16–17} urban children exposed to few microbes early in life have an increased risk of developing asthma and atopy. Exposure to air pollution could also contribute to excess asthma in urban areas.^{18–20} On the other hand, trees are a

source of pollen and may exacerbate asthma among children with atopic asthma.²¹

We conducted an ecological study in New York City with the objective of describing the direction and magnitude of any association between street trees and childhood asthma.

METHODS

The unit of analysis is the United Hospital Fund (UHF) area, originally designed to represent hospital catchment areas and still used for health statistics reports. The 42 UHF areas range in size from 3 to 67 km².

The prevalence of asthma for 4-year-old and 5-year-old children was assessed by the New York City Department of Health (NYCDOH) through school screening in 1999.¹⁵ Data on hospitalisations as a result of asthma among children younger than 15 were obtained from the NYCDOH for the year 1997.¹⁵ Asthma cases or hospitalisations were divided by the number of age-eligible children living in each UHF area to give an approximate risk.

Data from the 1995 street tree census were provided by the New York Parks and Recreation Department. Census-takers counted street trees along each street segment. Street tree density is the total number of trees on street segments within the UHF divided by land area.

Census data from the year 2000 were used to calculate the percentage of residents below the federal poverty line, the percentage of African American residents, the percentage of Latino residents, and the population density for each UHF area. Population density was calculated as persons per square kilometre. These potential confounders may be related to tree placement decisions and unmeasured asthma risk factors.

We also measured proximity to pollution sources (toxic release inventory sites, stationary point sources and major truck routes) that have previously been associated with asthma in New York City.¹⁹ Following the approach of Maantay,¹⁹ we defined the areas exposed to each pollution source, then calculated the proportion of each UHF area that was exposed to one or more pollution sources.

Partial and simple Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for each pair of independent variables. Poisson regression models with robust variance estimates were run in the software program Stata 9.2. Our multivariable models controlled for population density, demographic and socioeconomic characteristics (percentages of residents below the poverty line, of African American residents and of Latino residents) and proximity to pollution sources.

Short report

Table 1 Correlations among area characteristics, early childhood prevalence of asthma, and hospitalisations as a result of childhood asthma in New York City

	Street tree density	Population density	Percentage in poverty	Percentage black	Percentage Latino	Percentage near pollution source	Prevalence of asthma	Hospitalisations as a result of asthma
Street tree density		0.81***	-0.49**	0.18	0.08	-0.05	-0.66***	0.32
Population density	0.50***		0.40*	-0.21	-0.17	0.34*	0.65***	-0.29
Percentage in poverty	-0.54***	0.21		0.38*	0.54***	0.18	-0.27	0.25
Percentage black	-0.23	-0.02	0.40**		-0.52***	-0.22	0.19	0.35*
Percentage Latino	-0.52***	0.12	0.78***	0.11		-0.03	0.28	0.14
Percentage near pollution source	0.14	0.57***	0.38*	-0.04	0.29		-0.19	0.26
Prevalence of asthma	-0.54***	0.26	0.73***	0.44**	0.70***	0.26		0.58***
Hospitalisations as a result of asthma	-0.39*	0.23	0.75***	0.56***	0.65***	0.34*	0.83***	

Partial correlation coefficients, shown above the diagonal, are adjusted for all other area characteristics shown; simple Pearson correlation coefficients are shown below the diagonal; characteristics and childhood asthma were assessed for 42 areas throughout New York City between 1995 and 2000.

* $p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq 0.001$.

RESULTS

Street tree density was high in the most densely populated areas and in areas with less poverty, and was negatively correlated with the two measures of asthma burden (table 1). Higher street tree density was associated with a lower prevalence of childhood asthma even after adjustment for potential confounders (including sociodemographic characteristics, population density and proximity to pollution sources), but the association between street trees and hospitalisations as a result of asthma was no longer significant after adjustment.

Unadjusted estimates suggest that an increase in tree density of 1 standard deviation (SD, 343 trees/km²) would be associated with a 24% lower prevalence of asthma (relative risk (RR), 0.76 per SD of tree density; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.91) and a 26% lower risk of hospitalisation as a result of asthma (RR, 0.74 per SD of tree density; 95% CI, 0.62 to 0.87). After adjustment for potential confounders, we estimate that the same increase in street tree density would be associated with a 29% lower early childhood prevalence of asthma (RR, 0.71 per SD of tree density; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.79). The association between tree density and hospitalisations as a result of asthma was not significant after adjustment (RR, 0.89 per SD of tree density; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.06).

DISCUSSION

Areas with more street trees experienced a lower prevalence of early childhood asthma. This association was stronger after adjusting for potential confounders such as population density

and proximity to sources of air pollution. The inverse association of street trees with hospitalisations for childhood asthma became non-significant following adjustment for the same potential confounders.

Our cross-sectional and ecological study does not permit inference that trees are causally related to the prevalence of childhood asthma at the individual level. These observational data may be subject to residual confounding or confounding by unmeasured characteristics. Previous studies of tree density and childhood asthma have not been published to our knowledge, and our results need to be replicated by others. Future studies may be more robust if they are able to measure and control for characteristics of the home environment, such as the presence of allergens.

A natural experiment could demonstrate whether abundant street trees caused the lower prevalence of asthma observed in densely planted areas. The PlaNYC sustainability initiative (www.nyc.gov/html/planyc2030) includes a commitment to plant one million trees in New York City by the year 2017 and offers an opportunity for a large prospective evaluation. Staged tree planting by area could help identify the effects of increased tree density on childhood asthma.

Acknowledgements: This research was supported by a grant (R01-ES014229) from the National Institute for Environmental Health Science. GSL, a Health and Society Scholar at Columbia University, thanks the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Health & Society Scholars Program for its financial support.

Funding: This work was funded by the National Institute for Environmental Health Science (R01-ES014229) and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Health & Society Scholars Program.

Competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

1. Eder W, Ege MJ, von Mutius E. The asthma epidemic. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**355**:2226–35.
2. Evans R 3rd, Mullally DI, Wilson RW, et al. National trends in the morbidity and mortality of asthma in the US. Prevalence, hospitalization and death from asthma over two decades: 1965–1984. *Chest* 1987;**91**:65S–74S.
3. Akinbami LJ, Schoendorf KC. Trends in childhood asthma: prevalence, health care utilization, and mortality. *Pediatrics* 2002;**110**:315–22.
4. Platts-Mills TA, Erwin EA, Woodfolk JA, et al. Environmental factors influencing allergy and asthma. *Chem Immunol Allergy* 2006;**91**:3–15.
5. Yang IA, Savarimuthu S, Kim ST, et al. Gene–environment interaction in asthma. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;**7**:75–82.
6. Arruda LK, Sole D, Baena-Cagnani CE, et al. Risk factors for asthma and atopy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;**5**:153–9.
7. Gupta RS, Carrion-Carire V, Weiss KB. The widening black/white gap in asthma hospitalizations and mortality. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;**117**:351–8.
8. Choudhry S, Seibold MA, Borrell LN, et al. Dissecting complex diseases in complex populations: asthma in latino americans. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2007;**4**:226–33.
9. Savage-Brown A, Mannino DM, Redd SC. Lung disease and asthma severity in adults with asthma: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination. *J Asthma* 2005;**42**:519–23.

What is already known on this subject

Poor urban areas in the USA experience an especially high prevalence of childhood asthma. Although temporal patterns in air quality or pollen counts have been associated with exacerbations of asthma, the geographical variation in the prevalence of asthma within cities has not been adequately explained.

What this study adds

Our findings, while not conclusive, suggest that street trees may play a role in preventing early childhood asthma. These data did not support an association between street trees and hospitalisations owing to childhood asthma. Future work to prospectively evaluate a major tree planting intervention will allow stronger inference as to the effects of nearby trees on the prevalence of childhood asthma.

10. **Cesaroni G**, Farchi S, Davoli M, *et al*. Individual and area-based indicators of socioeconomic status and childhood asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2003;**22**:619–24.
11. **Carr W**, Zeitel L, Weiss K. Variations in asthma hospitalizations and deaths in New York City. *Am J Public Health* 1992;**82**:59–65.
12. **Naleway AL**. Asthma and atopy in rural children: is farming protective? *Clin Med Res* 2004;**2**:5–12.
13. **Busse WW**, Mitchell H. Addressing issues of asthma in inner-city children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;**119**:43–9.
14. **Matricardi PM**, Bouygue GR, Tripodi S. Inner-city asthma and the hygiene hypothesis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2002;**89**:69–74.
15. **The City of New York Department of Health and Mental Hygiene**. *Asthma facts*. 2nd edn. New York: The City of New York Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2003.
16. **Strachan DP**. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. *BMJ* 1989;**299**:1259–60.
17. **Ramsey CD**, Celedon JC. The hygiene hypothesis and asthma. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2005;**11**:14–20.
18. **Corburn J**, Osleeb J, Porter M. Urban asthma and the neighbourhood environment in New York City. *Health Place* 2006;**12**:167–79.
19. **Maantay J**. Asthma and air pollution in the Bronx: methodological and data considerations in using GIS for environmental justice and health research. *Health Place* 2007;**13**:32–56.
20. **Lin S**, Munsie JP, Hwang SA, *et al*. Childhood asthma hospitalization and residential exposure to state route traffic. *Environ Res* 2002;**88**:73–81.
21. **Dales RE**, Cakmak S, Judek S, *et al*. Influence of outdoor aeroallergens on hospitalization for asthma in Canada. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;**113**:303–6.

Let us assist you in teaching the next generation

Figures from all articles on our website can be downloaded as a PowerPoint slide. This feature is ideal for teaching and saves you valuable time. Just click on the image you need and choose the "PowerPoint Slide for Teaching" option. Save the slide to your hard drive and it is ready to go. This innovative function is an important aid to any clinician, and is completely free to subscribers. (Usual copyright conditions apply.)